

April 1, 2021

The Honorable Steve Dickson  
Administrator  
Federal Aviation Administration  
800 Independence Avenue SW  
Washington, DC 20591

RE: Publication of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Airman Certification Standards (ACS)

Dear Administrator Dickson,

This is a follow up to the letter this community recently sent to the Department of Transportation (DOT) and FAA and is in response to the recently published [repeal of DOT's 2018 policies and procedures](#) for rulemakings, [a 2018 general counsel memorandum](#) on the "review and clearance of guidance documents," [a 2019 general counsel memorandum](#) on "procedural requirements for DOT enforcement actions," and [a 2019 rule on administrative rulemaking, guidance and enforcement procedures](#).

The action taken by the DOT to amend Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 5 provides an important step forward to reestablish a process for ACS publication, but it is essential that the FAA make implementation a priority.

As noted in our Feb. 23 letter, the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC) process used to create and publish current ACS has proven an efficient, effective, and transparent means to manage these standards. Methods in place provide opportunity for public comment and stakeholder collaboration and continued systematic alignment between regulations, guidance, and testing. This process also preserves the flexibility needed to ensure that certification standards can be regularly revised in a timely way, to support advances in technology.

The undersigned applaud the DOT's recent actions and ask that the FAA take the needed steps to fully implement this policy change by resuming ACS publication on a consistent and predictable timetable. This will serve to deepen the trust and collaboration between community and agency for a truly effective framework, and ultimately result in a safer National Airspace System.

We look forward to working with the FAA to publish the twelve ACS currently in queue and to continue our work to develop new and maintain current ACS, which are essential to the training policy framework for airman certification.

Sincerely,

Emelia Bernava  
Designated Pilot Examiner

Christopher J. Cooper  
Sr. Director, Regulatory Affairs  
Aircraft Owners & Pilots Association

Eric Crump  
Aerospace Program Director  
Polk State College

David Dagenais  
Aviation Program Manager  
Florida State College at Jacksonville

RE: Publication of FAA ACS

April 1, 2021

Page 2 of 2

Sean Elliott  
Vice President, Advocacy and Safety  
Experimental Aircraft Association

John "Mac" McWhinney  
Senior Course Developer  
King Schools, Inc.

James Gibson, PhD  
Embry Riddle Aeronautical University

Mary A. Schu  
Mary Schu Aviation

John W. Hazlet, Jr.  
Vice President  
Regional Air Cargo Carriers Association

Jackie Spanitz  
General Manager  
Aviation Supplies & Academics

Jens C. Hennig  
Vice President, Operations  
General Aviation Manufacturers Association

Burt Stevens  
President  
CFI Care, Inc.

David Jones  
Senior Writer/Editor  
Avotek

Candise Tu  
Chief Flight Instructor  
Civic Helicopters

John King  
Co-Chairman  
King Schools

Tim Tucker  
Robinson Helicopter Company

Justin Madden  
Executive Director of Government Affairs  
Aircraft Mechanics Fraternal Association

Donna F. Wilt  
Professor  
Florida Institute of Technology  
& Past Chairman  
Society of Aviation and Flight Educators

Crystal Maguire  
Executive Director  
Aviation Technician Education Council

Roger Woods  
Leonardo Helicopters

Nick Mayhew  
Industry Co-Chair  
United States Helicopter Safety Team

Robert A. Wright  
President  
Wright Aviation Solutions LLC

cc: Ali Bahrami, Associate Administrator for Aviation Safety, [Ali.Bahrami@faa.gov](mailto:Ali.Bahrami@faa.gov)  
Mark Bury, Deputy Chief Counsel – Operations, [Mark.Bury@faa.gov](mailto:Mark.Bury@faa.gov)  
Brandon Roberts, Director, Office of Rulemaking, [Brandon.Roberts@faa.gov](mailto:Brandon.Roberts@faa.gov)  
Rick Domingo, Executive Director, Flight Standards Service, [Rick.Domingo@faa.gov](mailto:Rick.Domingo@faa.gov)

Enclosure Community Letter dated Feb. 23, 2021

February 23, 2021

Carlos Monje Jr., [carlos.monje@dot.gov](mailto:carlos.monje@dot.gov)  
Senior Advisor, Office of the Secretary  
Department of Transportation

John Putnam, [john.putnam@dot.gov](mailto:john.putnam@dot.gov)  
General Counsel (Acting)  
Department of Transportation

Brandon Roberts, [Brandon.Roberts@faa.gov](mailto:Brandon.Roberts@faa.gov)  
Director, Office of Rulemaking  
Federal Aviation Administration

Mark Bury, [Mark.Bury@faa.gov](mailto:Mark.Bury@faa.gov)  
Deputy Chief Counsel  
Federal Aviation Administration

RE: Publication of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Airman Certification Standards (ACS)

Messrs. Monje, Putnam, Roberts, and Bury:

As industry members of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee's Airman Certification System Working Group, the undersigned respectfully request that the department reconsider its relatively recent reluctance to publish FAA ACS, the product of a decades-long industry-FAA collaboration that—when published—will provide the framework under which aviators are trained and contribute to the overall safety of the U.S. aviation system.

In 2011 a diverse group of aviation community stakeholders joined the FAA to develop a new approach to airman (e.g., pilot and mechanic) certification. The goal of the industry-agency collaboration was to modernize the existing airman certification system—by providing a clearer understanding of what knowledge and skill was required of successful applicants. Over the last decade, an FAA-chartered ACS working group contributed countless hours to develop an integrated system that clearly defines the knowledge and skill required to hold an FAA airman certificate, and the framework to ensure testing, guidance, and training are in alignment. The foundational document that pulls all these pieces together is the ACS.

Since the first ACS was published in 2016, the agency has released six certification standards under the watchful eye of the FAA-industry working group.<sup>1</sup> The aviation community was quick to adopt the new documents and they have since proven to be an important asset. The ACS will clearly communicate what an applicant needs to know, consider, and do to attain airman certification. In response, the training community has developed programs that focus on competencies in lieu of the traditional rote memorization of commonly-asked questions. The new standard will continue to be a game changer for the advancement of aviation education.

The collaborative process under the ACS Working Group has also provided transparency and fairness in the agency's decision-making and contributed to new-found trust between aviation community stakeholders and the FAA. Published ACS have been available for public comment and review on the agency's website, and industry representatives have communicated the new standards to their respective constituencies throughout the development and revision of these safety-critical documents. This successful endeavor has also resulted in significant improvements to FAA certification handbooks and FAA knowledge exam test questions.

---

<sup>1</sup> Current ACS are published at [https://www.faa.gov/training\\_testing/testing/acs/](https://www.faa.gov/training_testing/testing/acs/)

In 2019, the working group's efforts were brought to a halt due to the reinterpretation of the FAA's responsibilities with regard to standards publication. Since then, the queue of unpublished work product has grown to twelve ACS, while industry awaits the promised certification reforms.

The negative impacts of the stalled publication of ACS are vast. In the absence of new certification standards, aviation training and testing providers rely on outdated practical test standards, or no published standard at all. With the rapid proliferation of new entrants (e.g., drones, powered lift, vertical takeoff and landing, and urban air mobility), the ACS framework is needed now, yet the regulator continues to fall further behind industry innovations and opportunities to improve safety.

We understand that the administration's sudden refusal to publish ACS was due in large part to promulgation of the department's administrative rulemaking procedures in December 2019.<sup>2</sup> We also understand and appreciate that the intent is to ensure that agency rules and guidance do not serve as a "back door" to rulemaking or impose undue burdens on regulated entities. However, if the FAA determines the ACS is rulemaking subject to Administrative Procedures Act (APA) requirements, the designation will greatly reduce the benefit of the ACS: that it become a flexible framework, revised at a regular cadence to ensure FAA airman knowledge and skill requirements evolve alongside industry innovations and safety needs. Even more importantly, deeming the ACS a rule subject to APA requirements would make it difficult to replicate the nature and scope of consultation, collaboration, and trust between the agency and community experts, that we now enjoy.

We submit for your consideration that the ACS is not subject to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 5 administrative procedures.<sup>3</sup> A common sense approach is that the ACS should not be considered rulemaking or guidance, but instead a framework for internal agency governance of certification processes. Industry will in turn incorporate the ACS knowledge and skill elements in its own training programs because it knows the agency will assess those elements in its FAA-facilitated airman tests.

Whether the agency adopts the suggested approach or not, we ask that all ACS ultimately be published consistent with past practices (for both existing ACS and legacy practical test standards) and free from APA rulemaking and guidance procedures that hamper flexibilities vital to a workable certification framework.<sup>4</sup>

We understand that the need for a nimbler approach to FAA document publication is not limited to the ACS, and that officials are actively working to identify a solution for issues we've raised here. We therefore thank you for considering these comments in your deliberations and respectfully request a meeting with department officials should there be a need to further discuss possible solutions and a path forward for ACS publication.

---

<sup>2</sup> [Administrative Rulemaking, Guidance and Enforcement Procedures](#), 84 FR 71714, published Dec. 27, 2019

<sup>3</sup> We assert that the ACS is exempt from rulemaking procedures as a "rule addressed solely to internal agency management or personnel matters" under 49 CFR 5.3(d)(3) and exempt from guidance procedures as "rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice" under [49 CFR 5.25\(d\)\(2\)](#).

<sup>4</sup> We do not suggest that the agency should not invite public comment on ACS. Indeed, the working group would recommend that current practice continue, which includes the opportunity for public comment on the FAA website, and notice of publication on the Federal Register.

RE: Publication of FAA ACS  
February 23, 2021  
Page 3 of 3

Sincerely,

Emelia Bernava  
Designated Pilot Examiner

Christopher J. Cooper  
Sr. Director, Regulatory Affairs  
Aircraft Owners & Pilots Association

Eric Crump  
Aerospace Program Director  
Polk State College

David Ryan Earl  
Manager, Regulatory Affairs – FAA Part 142  
FlightSafety International

John W. Hazlet, Jr.  
Vice President  
Regional Air Cargo Carriers Association

Jens C. Hennig  
Vice President, Operations  
General Aviation Manufacturers Association

Charles Horning  
Director, Regulatory Compliance and Outreach  
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

David Jones  
Senior Writer/Editor  
Avotek

Janeen Adrion Kochan, PhD  
President  
Aviation Research, Training, and Services, Inc.

Justin Madden  
Executive Director of Government Affairs  
Aircraft Mechanics Fraternal Association

Crystal Maguire  
Executive Director  
Aviation Technician Education Council

Nick Mayhew  
Industry Co-Chair  
United States Helicopter Safety Team

John “Mac” McWhinney  
Senior Course Developer  
King Schools, Inc.

Mary A. Schu  
Mary Schu Aviation

Jackie Spanitz  
General Manager  
Aviation Supplies & Academics

Burt Stevens  
President  
CFI Care, Inc.

Tim Tucker  
Robinson Helicopter Company

Donna F. Wilt  
Professor  
Florida Institute of Technology  
Past Chairman  
Society of Aviation and Flight Educators

Robert A. Wright  
President  
Wright Aviation Solutions LLC

cc: Steve Dickson, Administrator, [Steve.Dickson@faa.gov](mailto:Steve.Dickson@faa.gov)  
Ali Bahrami, Associate Administrator for Aviation Safety, [Ali.Bahrami@faa.gov](mailto:Ali.Bahrami@faa.gov)  
Rick Domingo, Executive Director, Flight Standards Service, [Rick.Domingo@faa.gov](mailto:Rick.Domingo@faa.gov)  
Bruce DeCleene, Director, Office of Safety Standards, [DeCleene@faa.gov](mailto:DeCleene@faa.gov)